UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ZENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/765,076	01/28/2004	Hsu-Ping Tseng	025789-00006	9811	
	7590 07/31/200 XINTNER PLOTKIN &	EXAMINER			
Suite 400		CHIEN, LUCY P			
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/31/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appli	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/76	5,076	-	TSENG ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	,	Art Unit				
			P. CHIEN		2871				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commur ply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F FER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply is specified above, the maximum sl ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a munication. catutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Me application to become	NICATION. (a reply be timely (IONTHS from the (IONTHS ABANDONED)	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Ros	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 March 20	ากร						
·	• •								
<i>7</i> —	/ 								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o	·	•	, , ,	,					
·									
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 14-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		iic withdrawn hon	r consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	n(s) is/are objected to.								
·	n(s) <u> </u>	et to restriction and	Var alaction rag	iromont					
o)⊠ Ciai	n(s) <u>r and 3-7, 14-79</u> are subjec	t to restriction and	a/or election requ	allellielli.					
Application P	apers								
9) <u></u> The :	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) <u></u> The ⋅	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Appl	cant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	yance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Repl	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

Species I: The specifics being the substrate and the protrusion comprise the same material.

Species II: The specifics being the substrate and the protrusion comprise different transparent material.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1,3-7,14-16,19-25,29 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,076

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUCY P. CHIEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lucy P Chien Examiner Art Unit 2871

/David Nelms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871